

Quantum Morphisms

Lecture 9

# Binary Linear Systems

A **binary linear system (BLS)** is simply a linear system of equations over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ :

$$Mx=b \text{ s.t. } M \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m, x \text{ a vector variable}$$

Equation/Constraint  $\ell$ :

$$\sum_i M_{\ell i} x_i = b_\ell \text{ or}$$

$$\sum_{i \in S_\ell} x_i = b_\ell \text{ where } S_\ell := \{i \in [n] : M_{\ell i} = 1\}$$

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 = 1$$

Example:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_4 + x_7 = 0$$

$$x_4 + x_5 + x_6 = 0$$

$$x_2 + x_5 + x_8 = 0$$

$$x_7 + x_8 + x_9 = 0$$

$$x_3 + x_6 + x_9 = 1$$

$$x_3 x_6 x_9 = -1$$

Does this system have a solution?

Mermin-Perez  
magic square

1	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	0
1	x <sub>4</sub>	x <sub>5</sub>	x <sub>6</sub>	0
0	x <sub>7</sub>	x <sub>8</sub>	x <sub>9</sub>	1
11	11	11	0	0

$$= 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$Mx = b$$

$$1$$

incidence mtx  
of  $K_{3,3}$

## BLS Games

$$M \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$$

$(M, b)$ -game

$$f(i) = 1 \leftrightarrow x_i = 1$$

Alice sent  $\ell \in [m]$ , responds with  $f: S_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$

Bob sent  $k \in [m]$ , responds with  $f': S_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$

To win, they must satisfy:

- 1)  $\sum_{i \in S_\ell} f(i) = b_\ell$
  - 2)  $\sum_{j \in S_k} f'(j) = b_k$
  - 3)  $i \in S_\ell \cap S_k \Rightarrow f(i) = f'(i)$
- } - "Constraint satisfaction"

As usual: There is a perfect classical strategy for the  $(M, b)$ -game if and only if  $Mx = b$  has a solution.

Also as usual, we want a quantum analog of this.

# Quantum Solutions for $Mx=b$

Multiplicative form of  $Mx=b$ :  $\begin{matrix} 1 \mapsto -1 \\ 0 \mapsto 1 \end{matrix}$

Variables  $x_i \in \{\pm 1\}$   $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \mapsto (-1)^a$

Equation  $\ell$ :  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{M_{\ell i}} = (-1)^{b_\ell}$  or

$$\prod_{i \in S_\ell} x_i = (-1)^{b_\ell}$$

Relax to operator-valued variables:

A quantum solution to  $Mx=b$  consists of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and operators  $A_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$  for  $i \in [n]$  satisfying:

$$1) A_i^* = A_i \quad \& \quad A_i^2 = I \quad \forall i \in [n];$$

$$2) A_i A_j = A_j A_i \quad \text{if} \quad \exists \ell \in [m] \text{ s.t. } i, j \in S_\ell;$$

$$3) \prod_{i \in S_\ell} A_i = (-1)^{b_\ell} I \quad \forall \ell \in [m].$$

Theorem (Cleve, Liu, Slofstra): The  $(M, b)$ -game has a perfect quantum commuting strategy if and only if  $Mx = b$  has a quantum solution.

of forward direction

Proof Idea: "Standard techniques" show that the  $(M, b)$ -game has a perfect qc-strategy if + only if  $\exists$  a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with a tracial state and projections  $P_f^\ell \in A$  for  $\ell \in [m]$  +  $f: S_\ell \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  satisfying:

$$1) \sum_f P_f^\ell = 1 \quad \forall \ell \in [m]$$

$$2) P_f^\ell = 0 \quad \text{if } \prod_{i \in S_\ell} f(i) \neq (-1)^{b_\ell}$$

$$3) P_f^\ell P_{f'}^k = 0 \quad \text{if } \exists i \in S_\ell \cap S_k \text{ s.t. } f(i) \neq f'(i)$$

$\forall \ell \in [m]$  and  $f: S_\ell \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  define:

$$A_i^\ell := \sum_{f(i)=1} P_f^\ell - \sum_{f(i)=-1} P_f^\ell = \sum_f f(i) P_f^\ell$$

Claim:  $A_i^\ell = A_i^{\ell*}$ ,  $(A_i^\ell)^2 = 1$ ,  $A_i^\ell$  does not depend on  $\ell$

Proof of claim:  $A_i^\ell = (A_i^\ell)^*$  is immediate.

$$P_f^\ell P_{f'}^\ell = D \text{ for } f \neq f' \Rightarrow (A_i^\ell)^2 = \sum_{f(i)=1} P_f^\ell + \sum_{f(i)=-1} P_f^\ell = \sum_f P_f^\ell = 1$$

Suppose  $i \in S_\ell \cap S_k$ .

$$A_i^\ell A_i^k = \sum_{\substack{f: S_\ell \rightarrow \pm 1 \\ f': S_k \rightarrow \pm 1}} f(i) f'(i) P_f^\ell P_{f'}^k = \underbrace{\sum_{f \neq f'} P_f^\ell P_{f'}^k}_{=0} = 1$$

if  $f(i) \neq f'(i)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow f(i)f'(i) \neq 1$

$$A_i^\ell A_i^k = 1 \Rightarrow A_i^\ell (A_i^k)^2 = A_i^k \Rightarrow A_i^\ell = A_i^k \quad \square$$

Now:  $A_i := A_i^\ell$  for any  $\ell$  s.t.  $i \in S_\ell$ .

Left to show:

$$1) A_i A_j = A_j A_i \text{ if } \exists \ell \in [m] \text{ s.t. } i, j \in S_\ell \quad \text{easy}$$

$$2) \prod_{i \in S_\ell} A_i = (-1)^{b_\ell} 1$$

Remarks:  $A_i = P_i^+ - P_i^- \quad P_f^\ell$

$\{P_i^+, P_i^-\}$  - projective measurement for just the variable  $x_i$

$A_i$  - called a (binary) observable

We can almost go back. Given a quantum solution  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , define

$$P_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(I + A_i) \quad P_i^- = \frac{1}{2}(I - A_i)$$

$$P_f^{\ell} = \prod_{i \in S_\ell} P_i^{f(i)}$$

**Problem:** No tracial state.

Quantum solution for Mermin-Pere's magic square

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X^* = X \quad Y^* = Y \quad Z^* = Z \quad X^2 = Y^2 = Z^2 = I$$

$$XY = -YX \quad XZ = -ZX \quad YZ = -ZY$$

$Z \otimes I$	$I \otimes Z$	$Z \otimes Z$	$I$
$I \otimes X$	$X \otimes I$	$X \otimes X$	$I$
$Z \otimes X$	$X \otimes Z$	$Y \otimes Y$	$I$

$I \quad I \quad -I$

# The Solution Group

Recall the conditions of

- 1)  $A_i^* = A_i$  &  $A_i^2 = I \quad \forall i \in [n];$
- 2)  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$  if  $\exists l \in [m]$  s.t.  $i, j \in S_l;$
- 3)  $\prod_{i \in S_l} A_i = (-1)^{b_l} I \quad \forall l \in [m].$

We want to encode (most of) these as group relations.

Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ . The **solution group** of  $Mx=b$ , denoted  $\Gamma(M, b)$ , is the group with generators  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  and  $J$  satisfying the relations:

- 1)  $g_i^2 = e \quad \forall i \in [n]$  and  $J^2 = e;$
- 2)  $g_i J g_i^{-1} J^{-1} = e$  i.e.  $g_i J = J g_i \quad \forall i \in [n];$
- 3)  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  if  $\exists l \in [m]$  s.t.  $i, j \in S_l;$
- 4)  $\prod_{i \in S_l} g_i = J^{b_l} \quad \forall l \in [m].$

Theorem (Cleve, Lin, Slofstra): Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ .

Then the following are equivalent:

- 1) the  $(M, b)$ -game has a perfect qc-strategy;
- 2)  $Mx = b$  has a quantum solution;
- 3) the solution group  $\Gamma(M, b)$  has  $J \neq e$ .

"Proof": We've "seen"  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ .

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : A quantum solution for  $Mx = b$  is a representation of the solution group where  $J \mapsto -I$ . But then  $J \neq e$ .

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : We show  $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  + tracial state

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(M, b)$  and

$$\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\Gamma) = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \alpha_g |g\rangle : \sum_g |\alpha_g|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Here  $\langle g | h \rangle = \delta_{gh}$ .

Define  $L_g \in B(\mathcal{H})$  as  $L_g |h\rangle = |gh\rangle \quad \forall g, h \in \Gamma$ .

Clearly  $L_g L_h = L_{gh}$ .

Define  $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|e\rangle - |J\rangle)$  (unit vector since  $J \neq e$ )

$$L_J |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|J\rangle - |e\rangle) = -|\Psi\rangle$$

$$\text{Also } L_J L_g |\Psi\rangle = L_{Jg} |\Psi\rangle = L_{gJ} |\Psi\rangle = L_g L_J |\Psi\rangle = -L_g |\Psi\rangle.$$

Thus  $L_J$  acts as  $-I$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0 := \text{span}\{L_g |\Psi\rangle : g \in \Gamma\}$ .

It follows that letting  $A_i = L_g; |g\rangle \in B(\mathcal{H}_0)$  for  $i \in [n]$  gives a quantum solution for  $Mx = b$ .

Moreover,  $A \mapsto \langle \Psi | A | \Psi \rangle$  is tracial on  $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | (L_g L_h) | \Psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} [ (\langle e | - \langle J | ) (|gh\rangle - |ghJ\rangle) ] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [ \langle e | gh \rangle + \langle J | ghJ \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle J | gh \rangle - \langle e | ghJ \rangle ] \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } gh = e \Leftrightarrow hg = e \\ -1 & \text{if } gh = J \Leftrightarrow hg = J \\ 0 & \text{o.w. } \quad g(hJ) = e \Rightarrow (hJ)g = e \end{cases} \\ &= \langle \Psi | L_h L_g | \Psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$Jhg = e$   
 $hg = J$

□

Theorem (Cleve & Mittal): Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ .

Then the following are equivalent:

- 1) the  $(M, b)$ -game has a perfect quantum tensor strategy;
- 2)  $Mx = b$  has a finite dimensional quantum solution;
- 3) the solution group  $\Gamma(M, b)$  has a finite dimensional representation  $\phi$  s.t.  $\phi(J) \neq \phi(e)$ .